

AGENDA

SCOTT COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY AUTHORITY

Wednesday, August 17, 2016 at 3:00
pm Scott County Administrative
Center Room 638
600 W. 4th St. Davenport, IA

1. Roll Call: Barber, Drummond, Scheibe
2. Approval of Minutes
3. Election of Officers

Current Officers: Chairperson: Dale Barber
Secretary: Carolyn Scheibe
Treasurer: Wilma Drummond

4. Discuss the policies regarding municipal securities disclosure
5. Approval of authorization of debt payment
6. Other items of interest

MINUTES
PUBLIC SAFETY AUTHORITY
Wednesday, August 26th 2015 AT 3:00 P.M.

The Board of Commissioners of the Public Safety Authority met in room 638 of the Scott County Administrative Center Wednesday, August 26th 2015 at 3:00 p.m.

Commissioners present: Dale Barber, and Carolyn Scheibe
Staff present: Dee Bruemmer, David Farmer, and Renee Johnson.
Commissioner Wilma Drummond was absent.

Moved by Barber, seconded by Scheibe, approval of the minutes of the May 13, 2015 meeting.

Roll Call: all ayes

Chairperson Barber discussed the annual election of officers.
Moved by Scheibe, seconded by Barber to keep the current officers.
Roll Call: all ayes

David Farmer, Budget Manager, passed out the Jail Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012 & 2013 Bond Balance Report for informational purposes.
David Farmer and Dee Bruemmer, County Administrator, discussed the debt schedule for the remaining years and the intent to keep the payments as equal as possible.

Moved by Scheibe, seconded by Barber the approval of the resolution providing for the authorization of debt payment in the amount of \$292,118 (\$177,118-interest and \$115,000-principal) and debt payment in the amount of \$1,658,500 (\$158,500-interest and \$1,500,000-principal).

Roll Call: Carolyn Scheibe- Aye
Dale Barber- Aye

Dee Bruemmer informed the PSA Commissioners that this is all that is needed for the year and the next meeting will be August 2016.

Barber adjourned meeting at 3:06 p.m.

Annual Training Regarding Municipal Securities Disclosure



Glossary of Terms

- **Issuer** – Governmental entity that issues bonds
- **Financial Advisor/Municipal Advisor** – Financial advisor (municipal advisor) to an Issuer
- **Underwriter** – Company that purchases an issue of bonds
- **SEC** – Federal Securities and Exchange Commission
- **OS** – Official Statement
- **CDC** – Continuing Disclosure Certificate
- **EMMA** – Electronic Municipal Market Access
<http://emma.msrb.org>
- **Dissemination Agent Agreement** – Agreement between Issuer and company that will post disclosure material on EMMA

Disclosure Training Objectives

- **Gain a better understanding of your post-issuance responsibilities and how to manage them**
- **How do we get there? This session will provide...**
 - Overview of regulatory oversight and applicable rules relating to disclosure
 - Review of the contents of a Continuing Disclosure Certificate and Disclosure Policy
 - Discussion of how to comply with disclosure requirements

Purpose of Disclosure

- **The SEC wants municipal issuers to provide ongoing information about their debt issues**
 - Ensures market transparency by making information available to the public
 - Ensures Bonds sold to investors on the secondary market are properly priced based on information provided by Issuers

SEC Authority

- Issuers *are* subject to anti-fraud provisions of federal securities laws
- The SEC regulates Underwriters through Rule 15c2-12 (the “Rule”) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; because of the Rule, the Issuer contractually agrees to provide certain ongoing continuing disclosures

Rule 15c2-12

- Two aspects of the Rule
 - 1) Prohibits an Underwriter from purchasing or selling Bonds without first determining the Issuer has agreed to provide ongoing disclosure of certain information, including
 - Annual financial information (often more than just audited financial statements)
 - Material event notices
 - 2) Requires the final Official Statement to include a description of any material non-compliance with continuing disclosure obligations in the five years prior to the offering

Sample Official Statement

NEW ISSUE - DTIC BOND ENTRY ONLY **Bonded & Paid's "A"**

In the opinion of Dorsey & Whitney LLP, Bond Counsel, according to present laws, rulings and decisions and assuming compliance with certain covenants, the interest on the Series 2014B Bonds (including any original issue discount properly allocable to an owner thereof) will be excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Interest on the Series 2014B Bonds is not an item of the proceeds for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") provided, however, such interest is taken into account in determining adjusted current earnings for purposes of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on corporations (as defined for federal income tax purposes). Interest on the Series 2014B Bonds is not excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The issuer will designate the Series 2014B Bonds as "qualified tax exempt obligations" in the "TAX EXEMPT BOND" and "DTIC TAX MATTERS" items.

\$26,700,000
Issue
General Obligation Annual Appropriation Corporate Purpose Bonds
\$1,000,000 Series 2014A, (Taxable)
\$1,667,000 Series 2014B

Dated: Date of Delivery **Due:** As shown on inside cover

The General Obligation Annual Appropriation Corporate Purpose Bonds, Series 2014A (Taxable) (the "Series 2014A Bonds") and Series 2014B (the "Series 2014B Bonds") described above (collectively, the "Bonds") are issuable as fully registered Bonds in the denomination of \$1,000 or any integral multiple thereof and, when issued, will be registered in the name of Code & Co., an Escrowholder and trustee of the Depository Trust Company, New York NY ("DTC"), DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. Purchasers of the Bonds will be made in book-entry form. (See "THE BOND: Book Entry Only Feature" item.)

The Bonds are being issued by the City of _____, Iowa (the "Issuer" or "City") in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,670,000, to evidence the City's obligation under a certain loan agreement (the "Loan Agreement") for the purpose of paying the cost, to that extent, of constructing street, water systems, sanitary sewer systems, sidewalks and storm water drainage improvements. The Bonds are issued pursuant to the provisions of Chapters 38 and 39A of the Code of Iowa, and all other laws, administrative orders and regulations thereto, and in conformity with a resolution adopted _____ 2014 by the City Council, authorizing and approving the Loan Agreement and providing for the issuance and securing the payment of the Bonds (the "Resolution").

The Bonds are general obligations of the Issuer, subject to non-appropriation, payable from amounts on deposit in the City's Debt Service Fund and the Bond Fund created in the Resolution, and other amounts as may be lawfully available at the time appropriated by the City Council for the payment thereof. The Bonds do not constitute an obligation payable from a continuing annual levy of ad-valorem taxes or a multiple Bond Year. You do not or incur any debt or other financial obligation whatsoever of the City. The Bonds do not identify or indirectly obligate the City to make any payments thereon during a Bond Year beyond any Bond Year for which Bonds have been appropriated by the City. The City has no payment obligations under the Bonds other than amounts appropriated for a payment due on the current Bond Year. In the event that the City Council of the City does not budget and appropriate Bonds for any Bond Year in an amount sufficient to pay the principal and interest due on the Bonds during such Bond Year, the City's obligations under the Bonds shall terminate and become null and void on the last day of the fiscal year for which the necessary Bonds were appropriated. Upon the occurrence of any such non-appropriation, the City shall not be obligated to make payments from any source (including Bonds on deposit in any Bond Fund created under the Resolution) of any amount in respect of principal and interest on the Bonds beyond those amounts for which an appropriation has previously been made, and the City shall not be liable to the holders of the Bonds for any other amounts due under the Bonds or for any costs, damages (including but not limited to consequential damages) or expenses incurred by the holders of the Bonds as a result of the exercise by the City of the foregoing right of non-appropriation.

The Bonds involve certain investment risks. See "BONDBOLDERS' RISKS" item.

Interest on the Bonds is payable on June 1, and December 1 in each year, beginning December 1, 2014 to the registered owners thereof. Interest shall be payable by check or draft if the Paying Agent consents to the person who was registered (within limited limits) as of the 15th day of the month immediately preceding the Interest Payment Date, to the address appearing on the registration books maintained by the Paying Agent or to such other address as is furnished to the Paying Agent in writing by a registered owner.

The Bonds maturing on or after June 1, 2021, may be called for redemption by the Issuer and paid before maturity beginning June 1, 2021 or any date thereafter, from any Bond redemption of moneys, in whole or from time to time or part, in any order of maturity and within an annual maturity by the Issuer (redemptions shall be by par, plus accrued interest to date of call).

The Bonds are being offered when, so and if issued by the City and accepted by the Underwriter, subject to receipt of an opinion as to legality, validity and tax exemption (with respect to the Series 2014B Bonds) by Dorsey & Whitney LLP, Des Moines, Iowa, Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel. It is expected that the Bonds in the definitive form will be available for delivery through the facilities of DTC on and about June 26, 2014.

The date of this Official Statement June 16, 2014



ANNUAL TRAINING RE: MUNICIPAL SECURITIES DISCLOSURE

Responsibility for Official Statement

- Issuers are primarily responsible for the content of their disclosure documents, regardless of who prepared the document
- An Issuer does not discharge its disclosure obligations by hiring professionals to prepare the official statement
- An Issuer has “an affirmative obligation” to know the contents of its official statement, including the financial statements
- Executing an official statement without first reading the document to ascertain whether it is accurate may be reckless (the basis for certain anti-fraud causes of action by the SEC)



ANNUAL TRAINING RE: MUNICIPAL SECURITIES DISCLOSURE

Responsibility for Official Statement

- **The SEC can bring enforcement actions against Issuers, members of its governing body, government employees and officials, and professionals working on the issue**
 - As an example, in 2008 the SEC charged several former San Diego city officials with fraud in connection with false and misleading statements in the official statement. In October of 2010, four of the former San Diego city officials settled with the SEC, agreeing to financial penalties ranging from \$5,000 to \$25,000 (this was the first instance of the SEC securing financial penalties against city officials in a muni bond fraud case).
 - In another example, in 2013, the SEC charged the City of Miami and its former budget director with securities fraud in connection with several bond offerings and disclosures made to the public.

Final Official Statement

- **To satisfy Rule 15c2-12, an Official Statement often includes a statement listing:**

“any instances in the previous five years in which [the Issuer] failed to comply, in all material respects, with any previous undertakings in a written [disclosure agreement].”
- **Example found in an Official Statement:**

“During the past five years, the Issuer has not failed to comply, in all material respects, with any previous undertakings it has entered into with respect to the Rule”

Final Official Statement

- If the Issuer has not complied with the continuing disclosure obligations of previous bond issues, but makes the statement shown on the previous slide as to compliance, this could constitute a material misstatement and subject the Issuer to an SEC enforcement action under anti-fraud provisions of federal securities laws
- Examples of noncompliance with continuing disclosure obligations:
 - Failure to report material event
 - Failure to file annual information
 - Late filing of material event or annual information

Sample Continuing Disclosure Certificate

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (the "Certificate") is executed and delivered by the City of _____ (the "Issuer"), in connection with the issuance of \$1,000,000 General Obligation Corporate Purpose Bonds, Series 2014 (the "Bonds") dated May 15, 2014. The Bonds are being issued pursuant to a resolution of the Issuer approved on April 28, 2014 (the "Resolution"). The Issuer is currently in compliance in all material respects with each undertaking previously entered into by it under the Rule, as hereinafter defined. The Issuer covenants and agrees as follows:

Section 1. Purpose of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate. This Disclosure Certificate is being executed and delivered by the Issuer for the benefit of the Holder and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriters in complying with S.E.C. Rule 15c-12.

Section 2. Definitions. In addition to the definitions set forth in the Resolutions, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Certificate unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

"Annual Report" shall mean any Annual Report provided by the Issuer pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 3 and 4 of this Disclosure Certificate.

"Beneficial Owner" shall mean any person which (a) has the power, directly or indirectly, to vote or consent with respect to, or to dispose of ownership of, any Bonds (including persons holding Bonds through nominees, depositaries or other intermediaries), or (b) is treated as the owner of any Bonds for federal income tax purposes.

"Dissemination Agent" shall mean the Dissemination Agent, if any, designated in writing by the Issuer and which has filed with the Issuer a written acceptance of such designation.

"Holder" shall mean the registered holder of the Bonds, as recorded in the registration books of the Registrar.

"Listed Event" shall mean any of the events listed in Section 5(a) of this Disclosure Certificate.

"Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board" or "MSRB" shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, 190 Duke Street, Suite 600, Alexandria, VA 22314.

"National Repository" shall mean, at any point in time, a nationally recognized municipal securities information repository which is then recognized as such by the SEC, as of the date of this Disclosure Certificate, the sole National Repository is the MSRB, which accepts filings via its Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) system at <http://www.emma.msrb.org>.

"Participating Underwriter" shall mean any of the original underwriters of the Bonds required to comply with the Rule in connection with offering of the Bonds.

"Repository" shall mean each National Repository and each State Repository.

"Rule" shall mean Rule 15c-12 adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

"State" shall mean the State of Iowa.

-

Continuing Disclosure Certificate

- **Annual Reports**
 - Audited financials
 - Tables, schedules or other financial information contained in the Official Statement, for example:
 - Valuations
 - Gross Taxable Valuation by Class of Property
 - Trend of Valuations
 - Larger Taxpayers
 - Debt Ratios
 - Levies and Tax Collections
 - Tax Rates

Continuing Disclosure Certificate Material Listed Events

- 1) Payment delinquencies
- 2) Non-payment related defaults, if material
- 3) Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties
- 4) Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties
- 5) Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform
- 6) Adverse determinations with respect to the tax status of the bonds
- 7) Modifications to rights of holders, if material
- 8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers
- 9) Defeasances
- 10) Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the securities, if material
- 11) Rating changes
- 12) Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the obligated person
- 13) Merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving an obligated person, if material
- 14) Appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material

SEC Trend of Municipal Entity Oversight

- Before the 2007 financial crisis, the SEC was less focused on municipal entities
- Steps have since been taken to increase federal regulatory oversight (e.g., Dodd Frank Act)
- In recent years the SEC has expressed concern regarding Issuers' compliance with disclosure obligations
- Consequently, the SEC continues its transition into an active regulatory body for Issuers

2013 Firsts by the SEC

- SEC penalties assessed against an Issuer
- SEC charges an Issuer with violation of a cease and desist order
- SEC charges an Issuer with falsely stating to bond investors that it had been properly providing annual financial information and notices required as part of its prior bond offerings
- SEC charges an Issuer for materially misleading statements outside of securities disclosure documents
- Demonstration that small size of an Issuer does not equate to a pass for disclosure deficiencies

Rhode Island/38 Studios

- On March 7, 2016, the SEC charge the Rhode Island Economic Development Corporation (RIEDC, now called the Rhode Island Commerce Corporation) and its bond underwriter with defrauding investors in its municipal bond offering to finance startup video game company 38 studios.
- RIEDC issued \$75 million in bonds for the 38 Studios project as part of a state government program intended to spur economic development and increase employment opportunities by loaning bond proceeds to private companies.

Rhode Island/38 Studios (continued)

- Bonds would be repaid by revenues generated by videogames developed by 38 Studios
- Only \$50 million of the proceeds were loaned to 38 Studios
 - (remaining proceeds paid cost of issuance and establish a reserve fund and capitalized interest fund)
- The Official Statement failed to disclose to investors that 38 Studios needed at least \$75 million in funding to produce a particular video game.

Rhode Island/38 Studios (continued)

- **As a result, investors weren't fully informed when deciding to purchase the Bonds that 38 Studios faced a funding shortfall– even with the loan proceeds– and could not develop the video game without additional sources of financing.**
- **When 38 Studios was later unable to obtain additional financing, the video game didn't materialize and the company defaulted on the loan.**
- *"Municipal issuers and underwriters must provide investors with a clear-eyed view of the risks involved in an economic development project being financed through bond offerings"*
 - Andrew Ceresney, Director of the SEC Enforcement Division.

Recent Examples of SEC Focus

- **City of Harrisburg, PA (May 6, 2013)**
 - The SEC charged the City of Harrisburg, PA, with securities fraud for its misleading public statements when its financial condition was deteriorating and financial information available to municipal bond investors was either incomplete or outdated
 - The SEC notes that public officials should be mindful that their written or oral public statements may affect the total mix of information available to investors

Recent Examples of SEC Focus (cont'd)

- **City of Miami, FL (July 16, 2013)**
 - In mid-July, the SEC charged the City of Miami and its former Budget Director with securities fraud in connection with several municipal bond offerings and other disclosures made to the bond investing public
 - SEC investigation found that beginning in 2008, Miami and the former Budget Director made materially false and misleading statements and omissions about certain interfund transfers in three 2009 bond offerings totaling \$153.5 million

Recent Examples of SEC Focus (cont'd)

- **West Clark Community Schools (July 29, 2013)**
 - “This is the first time the SEC has charged a municipal issuer with falsely claiming in a bond offering’s official statement that it was fully compliant with the annual disclosure obligations it agreed to in prior offerings, and an underwriter and its principal for not doing the necessary research to attest to the truthfulness of that claim,” said Andrew Ceresney, Co-Director of the Division of Enforcement. “West Clark Community Schools defrauded bond investors by leading them to believe that it had provided the annual financial information contractually required in a prior bond offering, when in fact for five years they failed to submit the required information. This case demonstrates that we will be vigilant in making sure municipal issuers and underwriters comply with their obligations.”

2014 SEC Increasing Involvement

- On March 10, 2014, the SEC announced its “Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperation Initiative” (the “MCDC Initiative”), which allowed Issuers to self-report instances of materially inaccurate statements in official statements until December 1, 2014
- Several Issuers and Underwriters participated in the MCDC Initiative to receive favorable settlement terms from the SEC
- MCDC Status Update:
 - 72 Underwriter Orders
 - No word on Issuers, but expected to hear by end of 2016

Kings Canyon Joint Unified School District

- First SEC Order under the MCDC Initiative
 - On July 8, 2014, the SEC entered an order finding that in a 2010 Bond offering, Kings Canyon Joint Unified School District represented to investors that it had complied with its prior continuing disclosure obligations
 - Because between at least 2008 and 2010, the school district failed to submit some required disclosures, the 2010 OS was inaccurate
 - The School District agreed to settle the charges without admitting to or denying the findings
 - School District was already under investigation

MCDC 2015-2016 Underwriter Orders

- **The SEC issued orders against 72 Underwriters**
 - **Fined \$18 million in the aggregate, ranging from \$20,000 to the \$500,000 ceiling per Underwriter**
 - **Orders were issued in 3 batches**
 - June 18, 2015
 - September 30, 2015
 - February 2, 2016
 - **Orders include one to three examples of noncompliance per Underwriter, which provide the basis of determining what the SEC considers to be material noncompliance and misrepresentations**

Examples of Material Non-compliance

- **An Official Statement must disclose any of the following**
 - **Failure to make at least one Audited Financial Statement or Annual Report filing**
 - **Annual filing made at least 33 days late**
 - **Failure to file cross-reference to annual filing elsewhere on EMMA**
 - **Failure to file material event notice regarding the advance refunding and associated redemptions of certain bonds**

Other MCDC Underwriter Order Guidance

- **Silence in an Official Statement regarding history of compliance is deemed to be a statement of material compliance**
- **A notice of failure to comply must also accompany a late/missing filing**
- **Noncompliance must be disclosed, even if missing filings are updated prior to printing the Official Statement**
- **A misstatement/omission cannot be “cured” by subsequently filing an amendment to an Official Statement (in this case 4 months after the Official Statement was printed)**

Continuing Disclosure Obligations Today

- **Post-MCDC Initiative, the SEC has advised that any enforcement actions will result in more severe penalties.**
“Those who do not self-report and instead decide to take their chances can expect to face increased sanctions for violations.”

Andrew J. Ceresney,
Director of the SEC Enforcement Division
- **Going forward, it is very important for Official Statements to be accurate, including statements relating to continuing disclosure compliance**

Implementing Policies and Procedures Regarding Municipal Securities Disclosure

- **To help ensure your compliance with disclosure obligations we recommend Issuers adopt policies and procedures relating to:**
 - **Primary Disclosure:** The disclosure document (Official Statement) for the bonds
 - **Secondary Disclosure:** Ongoing disclosures associated with outstanding bond issues (aka “continuing disclosure”)

Implementing Policies and Procedures Regarding Municipal Securities Disclosure

- **The Dorsey-provided Policies and Procedures (the “Policy”)** addresses three aspects of disclosure:
 - 1) Preparation and approval of official statements in connection with new bond issues;
 - 2) On-going continuing disclosure requirements under a CDC; and
 - 3) Education of staff and elected officials with respect to disclosure matters.

1. New Offerings of Bonds – Official Statements of the Issuer

- Under federal anti-fraud law, an OS cannot contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements therein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading
- An Underwriter, Municipal Advisor, or law firm may assist you in preparing the OS, but it **is imperative that you review the OS for accuracy before it is published.** Failing to do so could result in untrue statements, thereby subjecting the Issuer and/or individuals to liability

1. New Offerings of Bonds – Official Statements of the Issuer (Step 1)

- Appendix I of the Policy provides written procedures for preparing the OS, comprised of 5 steps:
- **Step 1**
At the commencement of a financing, the appointed Disclosure Officer shall develop or cause its finance team to develop a plan for preparation of the official statement and a schedule that allows sufficient time for all required work, including appropriate review and participation by members of the financing team and knowledgeable Issuer staff

1. New Offerings of Bonds – Official Statements of the Issuer (Step 2)

- **Step 2**
The Disclosure Officer shall be responsible for managing the preparation process for the official statement, and shall obtain the assistance of other participants within the Issuer and legal and financial professionals, as necessary and appropriate

1. New Offerings of Bonds – Official Statements of the Issuer (Step 3)

- **Step 3**
The Disclosure Officer shall be responsible for developing a program for coordinating staff review of the disclosure information and obtaining formal sign-off from staff on the disclosure documents

1. New Offerings of Bonds – Official Statements of the Issuer (Step 4)

- **Step 4**
The Disclosure Officer shall ensure that any previous failure to fully comply with continuing disclosure obligations during the prior five year period is disclosed in the official statement

1. New Offerings of Bonds – Official Statements of the Issuer (Step 5)

- **Step 5**
The Issuer's governing body shall be given not less than 7 days to review an official statement prior to being asked to vote on its approval, absent extenuating circumstances. Elected representatives on the governing body shall be directed to contact the Disclosure Officer during the review period to discuss potential issues or comments on the official statement.

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance)

- The Issuer will file, or cause to be filed, necessary items under the CDCs in a searchable electronic format at the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) portal (www.emma.msrb.org).
- To ensure compliance, Appendix II of the Policy provides 13 points for continuing disclosure

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II)

1. **Overall Responsibility for CDC Compliance**
The applicable employee of the Issuer (“Disclosure Officer”) shall be responsible for compliance with the Issuer’s CDCs, including:
 - Annual filings
 - Material event notice filings
 - Voluntary filings
 - Other filings required by the CDC

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

2. Discussion of CDC

Prior to execution of a CDC in connection with a bond issue, to ensure full understanding of the Issuer's obligations, the CDC shall be discussed with:

- Bond counsel
- Underwriter
- Financial Advisor

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

3. Public Statements and Information

- The Disclosure Officer shall have primary responsibility for ensuring that statements or releases of information relating to the Issuer's finances to the public that are reasonably expected to reach investors and the financial markets are accurate and not misleading in any material respect, including:
 - Website updates
 - Press releases
 - Market notices
- The public officials and the Disclosure Officer shall work together to ensure that all public statements and information released by the Issuer are accurate and not misleading in all material respects

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

4. Outstanding Bond Issues

The Disclosure Officer shall be responsible for compiling and maintaining a list of all outstanding bond issues subject to continuing disclosure, noting the applicable filing dates. Track this information using “Disclosure Table, Part I” of the Policy

Master Tracking Table

Name of Bond Issue	Date of Issue	Final Maturity Date	Dissemination Agent?	CUSIP for Final Maturity	Deadline for Annual Report

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

5. Copies of CDC, OS, Dissemination Agent Agreement Materials.

The Disclosure Officer shall be responsible for assembling and maintaining copies of the final CDC and final Official Statements for each applicable bond issue, together with any third-party Dissemination Agent Agreements, if applicable

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

6. Documentation/Tracking Information to File

The Disclosure Officer shall document and track the required information to be filed, including dates such information is filed, using “Disclosure Table, Part II” of the Policy

[Name of Bonds][date of issue]	Reporting Periods			
	[inset date info was filed on EMMA]			
Description of Financial Information / Operating Data to file on EMMA	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016
[audit]				
[list applicable tables in Official Statement]				
[unaudited financials, if audit not available by deadline]				
[other information]				

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

7. Email Reminders from EMMA

The Disclosure Officer shall be responsible for registering for continuing disclosure filing email reminders from the “EMMA” website (<http://emma.msrb.org>).

Dorsey will provide EMMA-specific training in the near future.

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

8. Compile Information Required by the CDCs

At least 30 days prior to the earliest filing deadline listed on the Disclosure Table, the Disclosure Officer shall begin the process of compiling necessary information required by the CDCs

- This may require coordinating with outside professionals hired to compile this information, such as Dissemination Agent or Municipal Advisor

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

9. Ensure Completeness of Filing

At least 10 days prior to each filing deadline, the Disclosure Officer shall determine whether all necessary items have been compiled for filing pursuant to the CDC requirements

- This may require coordinating with outside professionals hired to compile this information, such as Dissemination Agent or Municipal Advisor

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

10. Conduct Filing

- At least 3 days prior to each filing deadline, the Disclosure Officer shall file (or cause any Dissemination Agent to file) the necessary items on the EMMA website
- After filing, the Disclosure Officer shall confirm that all items have, in fact, been filed on EMMA as required, and shall note the filing date on the Disclosure Table

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

11. Listed Event Filings

- In addition to the continuing disclosure filings, the Disclosure Officer shall be responsible for determining whether any “listed events” have taken place
- If such an event has taken place, the Disclosure Officer must discuss the same with its external legal and financial professionals and cause the filing of notice to be made on EMMA within ten business days of such events.
- The 14 Listed Events are included on the following slide (same as earlier slide re Material Listed Events)

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

- 1) Payment delinquencies
- 2) Non-payment related defaults, if material
- 3) Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties
- 4) Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties
- 5) Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform
- 6) Adverse determinations with respect to the tax status of the bonds
- 7) Modifications to rights of holders, if material
- 8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers
- 9) Defeasances
- 10) Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the securities, if material
- 11) Rating changes
- 12) Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the obligated person
- 13) Merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving an obligated person, if material
- 14) Appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

12. Contact Person for Investor Inquiries

The Disclosure Officer shall be the primary contact person for responding to inquiries from investors and for maintaining the investor relations portion of the Issuer's website, if any.

2. Continuing Disclosure Compliance (CDC Compliance—Appendix II cont'd)

13. Voluntary Information Filing

The Disclosure Officer shall be responsible for coordinating and filing any voluntary information with EMMA, after consultation with the Issuer's legal and financial professionals.

3. Systematic Training of Staff and Governing Body Members

- **Staff and members of the governing body must also be aware of these procedures. Accordingly, continuous training is important to teach new individuals and remind current individuals of the Issuer's continuing disclosure obligations.**
- **Three formats of training will aid in maintaining effective disclosure policies and procedures**
 1. Annual Training
 2. Specific Training
 3. Governing Body Training

3. Systematic Training of Staff and Governing Body Members (Annual Training)

1. Annual Training

The Disclosure Officer is responsible for scheduling annual training of Issuer employees regarding disclosure and financial reporting requirements of the federal securities laws, including:

- A complete review of:
 - The Issuer's Disclosure Policy, Rule 15c2-12 and the material events required to be reported pursuant to such Rule, and
 - The Issuer's obligations under the federal securities laws
- Within six months after each fiscal year end, the Disclosure Officer shall provide written certification to the governing body that the annual disclosure training has been completed.

3. Systematic Training of Staff and Governing Body Members (Annual Training)

• Example of Certificate

I, the undersigned, Disclosure Officer of the [Issuer Name], hereby certify that the [Issuer Name] has completed its annual disclosure training for the Fiscal Year _____, as required pursuant to the [Issuer Name]'s Policies and Procedures Regarding Municipal Securities Disclosure, adopted _____, 20__.

WITNESS MY HAND this _____ day of _____, 20__.

[Individual's Name]
[Disclosure Officer]

3. Systematic Training of Staff and Governing Body Members (Specific Training)

2. Specific Training

When appropriate, the Disclosure Officer shall conduct (or cause to be conducted) training with individuals on those persons' specific roles and responsibilities in the disclosure and financial reporting process.

3. Systematic Training of Staff and Governing Body Members (Governing Body Training)

3. Governing Body Training

At least biennially, the Disclosure Officer shall schedule a training session for the Issuer's governing body on:

1. Issuer's Disclosure Policy
2. Disclosure and financial reporting requirements of the federal securities laws.

Roles of Bond Counsel vs. Disclosure Counsel

- **Bond Counsel**
 - Prepares Resolutions, bonds, loan agreement, closing certificates, CDC, and other closing documents
 - Delivers opinion that bonds are valid and legal obligations of the Issuer
 - Delivers opinion as to tax-exempt status of the bonds
- **Disclosure Counsel**
 - Prepares or closely reviews Official Statement, in conjunction with the Issuer’s review of the Official Statement
 - Disclosure Counsel does not prepare or confirm financial information
 - Conducts in-depth review of previous continuing disclosure undertakings and the Issuer’s compliance with such undertakings
 - Delivers “10b-5” opinion to Issuer (and to Underwriter)

Disclosure Training Take-Aways

- **Responsibilities don’t end with closing**
- **There are ongoing, “post-issuance” requirements**
 - Annual report disclosure
 - Material event disclosure
- **Post-issuance compliance is taking on more significance in light of increased regulatory scrutiny**
- **Adopt and follow policies and procedures to comply with Federal securities disclosure laws**

Annual Training Re: Municipal Securities Disclosure

Questions?

Contact Information

Amy Bjork
bjork.amy@dorsey.com

Brian Damman
damman.brian@dorsey.com

Darla Giese
giese.darla@dorsey.com

Robert Josten
josten.robert@dorsey.com

Emily Hammond
hammond.emily@dorsey.com

James Smith
smith.james@dorsey.com

David Claypool
claypool.david@dorsey.com

John Danos
danos.john@dorsey.com

David Grossklaus
grossklaus.david@dorsey.com

Cristina Kuhn
kuhn.cristina@dorsey.com

Dorsey & Whitney LLP
801 Grand Avenue, Suite 4100
Des Moines, IA 50309-2790
(515) 283-1000

R E S O L U T I O N

SCOTT COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY AUTHORITY

August 17, 2016

APPROVING OF AUTHORIZATION OF DEBT PAYMENTS

BE IT RESOLVED BY the Scott County Public Safety Authority as follows:

Section 1. That the authorization of the Series 2012 Debt Payment in the total amount of \$289,817.50 (\$174,817.50-interest and \$115,000-principal) due on December 1, 2016 and June 1, 2017 as presented by the County Administrator is hereby approved.

That the authorization of the Series 2013 Debt Payment in the total amount of \$1,663,500 (\$128,500-interest and \$1,535,000-principal) due on December 1, 2016 and June 1, 2017 as presented by the County Administrator is hereby approved.

That the authorization of trustee administrative fees up to \$6,000.00 as presented by the County Administrator is hereby approved.

Section 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.